EXHIBIT 5

Deposition Excerpts of Darla Welker

Holliman, Michelle v. We Are Sharing Hope SC, et al

				Page 1
STATE OF S	OUTH CAR(OLINA	COURT OF COM	MON PLEAS
			9TH JUDICIAI	
			dually and as	
			of Allen B. H	
representa		one abeaee		io i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i
Plai	ntiff,			
1 1011	110111,			
VS.		CASE NO.	2020-CP-10-2	2902
V 5 .		crist no.	2020 01 10 2	. , , ,
WE ARE SHA	RING HOPE	E SC. MEDICA	AL UNIVERSITY	OF SOUT
			OR ORGAN SHA	
	ndants.		21. 21.21 2111	,
Dere				
VIDEOCONFE	RENCE			
		DARLA A. WE	TIKER	
DELOGITION	01	21111211 11. WI		
DATE:		December 14	1. 2020	
			,	
TIME:		10:04 a.m.		
LOCATION:		Mount Pleas	sant, South C	Carolina
TAKEN BY:		Counsel for	the Plainti	.ff
REPORTED B	Υ:	MARIE H. BE	RUEGGER, RPR,	CRR
		(Appearing	Via VTC)	

	Page 79				
1	only using the hemodilution sheet for serologies				
2	and NATs.				
3	BY MS. DINKINS:				
4	Q So WASH was not looking at				
5	hemodilution in terms of ABO blood typing?				
6	MS. CRAIG: Objection.				
7	THE WITNESS: Correct.				
8	BY MS. DINKINS:				
9	Q Did WASH's medical director approve				
LO	this donor?				
L1	A WASH's medical director was involved				
L 2	in this donor. I'm not sure the extent of your				
L 3	question of approving.				
L 4	Q How was can you explain the medical				
L 5	director's involvement with this donor?				
L 6	A I don't recall. To be honest, I don't				
L 7	recall.				
L 8	Q But you know they were involved to				
L 9	some extent?				
20	A I know I know there was a medical				
21	director assigned to the case.				
22	Q Who was that?				
23	A That was Dr. Honig.				
24	Q Do you know who told Dr. Honig about				
25	the donor?				